



No. 3

REGIONAL COUNCIL
9 FEBRUARY 2000

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY

OF

OTTAWA-CARLETON

COUNCIL MINUTES

The Council of the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton met at Haydon Hall, Regional Headquarters, 111 Lisgar Street, Ottawa, on Wednesday, 9 February 2000 at 1:30 p.m.

The meeting was called to order at 1:35 p.m.

The Regional Chair, Robert Chiarelli, presided and led Council in prayer.

ALL MEMBERS WERE PRESENT.

Announcements/Ceremonial Activities

REGIONAL CHAIR, ROBERT CHIARELLI'S COMMENTS:

"I am pleased to announce that the Honourable Tony Clement, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, has appointed Councillor Peter Hume to the "Municipal Affairs and Housing ~ Municipal Reference Group".

This Group provides advice to the Minister on strategies for the proposed devolution of social housing.

This assignment will require Councillor Hume to attend frequent meetings in Toronto which the Minister recognizes will add to his already busy schedule, but stresses that his background and experience will be invaluable to the work of the Group.

On behalf of Council, I wish to congratulate Councillor Hume, and wish him success in his appointment.”



Confirmation Of Minutes

The minutes of the Regular Council meeting of 26 January 2000 were confirmed.

Declarations Of Interest Arising From Prior Meetings Of Council And Committees

No declarations of interest were filed.

Motion To Introduce Committee Reports

MOTION NO. 9

Moved by Councillor M. McGoldrick-Larsen
Seconded by Councillor R. Cantin

RESOLVED THAT Community Services Committee Report No. 40; Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 55; Planning and Environment Committee Report No. 49; and Transportation Committee Report No. 53 be received and considered and that the Rules of Procedure be suspended in order that Council may receive and consider Planning and Environment Committee Report No. 50.

“CARRIED” with Councillor Hunter dissenting on Suspension of the Rules to receive and consider Planning and Environment Committee Report No. 50.

(COMMITTEE REPORTS BEGIN ON PAGE)

COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 40

**1. RESULTS OF BIG SHOT CHALLENGE: INFLUENZA IMMUNIZATION PROGRAM
FOR RESIDENTS AND STAFF OF LONG-TERM CARE FACILITIES**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECEIVED”

2. TOBACCO ADVERTISING

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION AS AMENDED

That Council implement a policy to prohibit tobacco advertising as well as tobacco sponsorship advertising by tobacco companies and/or their “shell” companies on Regional property, and that the Health Department report back in early 2001 about extending this policy to cover all municipal properties in the new City of Ottawa.

“CARRIED” with Councillors Hunter and van den Ham dissenting.

3. RE-DIRECTION OF EMERGENCY HOSTEL FUNDING**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECEIVED”

**4. POSSIBLE EXPOSURE TO LEAD IN TAILINGS FROM
KINGDON MINE SITE, WEST CARLETON****COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECEIVED”

CORPORATE SERVICES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 55**1. HAZELDEAN PUMPING STATION - PHASE III
PUMPING STATION EXPANSION AND REHABILITATION CONTRACTS****COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

That Council approve:

- 1. The award of Contract ETL99-2020 for works associated with the expansion and rehabilitation of the Hazeldean Pumping Station to 1258843 Ontario Ltd. (o/a Phil-Cor Construction), Nepean, in the amount of \$3,377,722;**
-

2. The award of Contract ETL99-2021 for the construction of a 600 mm sewage forcemain for the Hazeldean Pumping Station to Lansco Construction Ltd., Gormley, in the amount of \$2,023,263;
3. A modification to Contract ETL99-2007 for the supply of engineering field services during the construction phase of the expansion and rehabilitation of the Hazeldean Pumping Station to CH2M Gore & Storrie, Ottawa, in the amount of \$681,697, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$1,371,847.

“CARRIED”

2. YEAR 2000 AND THE REGION OF OTTAWA-CARLETON
REPORT FOR THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 1999

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECEIVED”

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 49

1. COMMUNITIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PRIORITY PLAN PROPOSAL

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION AS AMENDED

That Council approve the following:

WHEREAS the year 2000 is the transition year to the new City of Ottawa and new types of planning will have to be undertaken to assist the new Council to identify priorities at both the city and the neighbourhood level,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT staff provide Council with an assessment of what would be a reasonable method of integrating the multi-layers of plans presently in force across the Region,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT staff provide an analysis of what Council will want to consider as the new city plan and the planning process required to get there.

“CARRIED”

2. RIVERCARE 2000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council endorse the proposed Rivercare 2000 Project.

“CARRIED”

3. CITY OF OTTAWA OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 34 - MUD LAKE
--

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council:

- 1. Lift Deferral No. 22 to the City of Ottawa Comprehensive Official Plan, and approve the designation of "Environmentally Sensitive Area" as described on the Approval Page attached as Annex I, and;**
- 2. Approve Official Plan Amendment No. 34 to the City of Ottawa Official Plan as modified by the Approval Page attached as Annex II.**

“CARRIED”

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 50

UNIFORM URBAN DEVELOPMENTS,
CARLING AVENUE SUBDIVISION – CITY OF NEPEAN

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the Draft Plan of Subdivision 06T-99019 as outlined in the Draft Plan Approval Report attached as Annex A.

MOTION NO. 10

Moved by Councillor W. Byrne
Seconded by Councillor A. Loney

RESOLVED THAT the issue of appropriate management structure be considered {in Condition No. 36} given the challenge that exists with respect to snow and its removal.

“CARRIED”

Planning and Environment Committee Report No. 50 as amended by Motion No. 10 was then put to Council and “CARRIED” with Councillor McGoldrick-Larsen dissenting and with Councillors Legendre and Byrne dissenting on the lack of a noise barrier.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 53

1. **AUDIBLE PEDESTRIAN SIGNALS ADVISORY COMMITTEE - PROGRESS REPORT - 1999**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

That Council receive the progress report prepared by the ad-hoc Audible Pedestrian Signals Committee and approve the recommendations set out in this staff report **as amended as follows:**

- 1. That the mandate of the Audible Pedestrian Signals (APS) Advisory Committee be continued, in order to carry on work as approved by the Transportation Committee and by Council in 1997, as set out by the Fulton brief (Annex B);**
 - 2. That during the next 12 months the APS Committee continue to provide advice to the Environment and Transportation Department on APS related issues and begin focusing on other issues raised in the Fulton Brief (Annex B) namely, the broader issues of pedestrian safety and related issues for citizens who are blind, including design and operation of pedestrian facilities as they impact on the mobility of persons who are blind in consultation with the Mobility Management Branch;**
 - 3. That the Mobility Management Branch take a lead role in the creation of strategies and initiatives to enhance pedestrian safety for persons who are blind and that consultation with the APS Committee be incorporated into this Branch's mandate with direction from the Transportation Committee for the Branch to assist the APS Committee in making the transfer from a single focus on APS to the broader focus of the Fulton Brief to ensure citizens who are blind will be involved in future Regional program development and implementation;**
 - 4. That the Environment and Transportation Department continue to seek out advice from the APS Committee on audible pedestrian signal issues;**
 - 5. That individuals or groups be consulted in the development of strategies for persons with disabilities and these broader issues be addressed by the newly created Mobility Management Branch;**
 - 6. That Council approve;**
 - i) That all signalized intersections within the limits of capital road reconstruction projects be equipped with APS and the installation costs be borne by the capital project;**
 - ii) That the installation of all new traffic control signals funded by a developer be equipped with APS and that the additional costs associated with both the installation and maintenance of the APS be funded by the developer;**
-

- iii) That APS be installed at signalized intersections undergoing major upgrade and/or rehabilitation work;
 - iv) That intersections identified for signalization through the Region's Annual Traffic and Pedestrian Signal Program be equipped with APS and the installation costs be borne by this capital budget;
 - v) That in rural areas with little or no pedestrian activity that the local councillor be consulted with regard to the need to install an APS and where installations are not made that the cost savings be applied to locations on the outstanding list of requests; and
 - vi) That the installation of APS in conjunction with recommendations i) to iv) noted above, only be carried out at intersections where it is technically feasible at this time. In such cases staff will continue to seek solutions for such intersections;
7. That the practice of installing left-turn arrow indications continue;
 8. That the Environment and Transportation Department continue to consult with members of the visually impaired community to discuss future installations;
 9. That staff maintain a record of requests for audible pedestrian signals;
 10. That staff continue the existing practice of forwarding requests and cost estimates for APS at non-Regionally owned intersections to the appropriate agency for consideration,
 11. That the APS Committee select one location per year to be marked by an inauguration ceremony and that staff notify the requester and the CNIB as to APS installation dates and that this information be posted on the Region's Web page;
 12. That staff develop a communication plan that identifies the target audience, communication objectives, communication instruments and that it be developed to manage the provision of information by the Region to persons who are blind, deaf-blind or have low-vision. And, that the survey recommended in the report not be undertaken;
-

13. That the Region continue to supply information upon request in alternate format where ever possible;
14. That staff and the visually impaired continue to identify, obtain, and test push button locator systems and select the preferred device with a cost estimate in time for the 2001 budget;
15. That a tactile path and tactile indicators be introduced in and around Regional Headquarters.
16. That following the public consultation and finalization of the criteria, the Transportation Department hold an annual public meeting with citizens to review applications and select intersections for APS installation in the following year.

“CARRIED”

2. NOISE BARRIER DESIGN STANDARD

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

That Council:

1. Adopt the "Proposed Noise Barrier Design Standard" attached at Annex "A";
 2. Adopt the provisional list of products and suppliers/manufacturers listed in Annex "B" to be acceptable for installation in RMOC;
 3. Allow staff to amend Annex "B" as they see fit subject to the presentation of appropriate evidence from new manufacturers/suppliers in accordance with the proposed noise barrier design standard at Annex "A";
 4. Approve that reference to Ontario Building Code (OBC) standards be removed from the RMOC's Design Standard for Noise Barriers;
-

- 5. Approve that soft landscaping that could include trees and vines, be mandatory for all noise barriers.**

MOTION NO. 11

Moved by Councillor D. Holmes
Seconded by Councillor M. Meilleur

RESOLVED THAT Item 2 of Transportation Committee Report No. 53 – Noise Barrier Design Standard be REFERRED BACK to the Committee for further review.

“CARRIED” with Councillor Legendre and Cantin dissenting.

<p>3. NOISE MITIGATION RETROFITTING GUIDELINES</p>

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

- 1. That Council approve the proposed draft noise barrier retrofitting policy attached at Annex “A”;**
- 2. That Council approve the minimum number of residences to be considered per barrier section be 5 continuous lots, unless circumstances warrant otherwise.**

“CARRIED” with Councillor van den Ham dissenting.

Motion To Adopt Committee Reports**MOTION NO. 12**

Moved by Councillor M. Bellemare
Seconded by Councillor D. Beamish

RESOLVED THAT Community Services Committee Report No. 40; Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report No. 55; Planning and Environment Committee Report Nos. 49 and 50; and Transportation Committee Report No. 53 be received and adopted as amended by Motion No. 10 and with Item 2 of Transportation Committee Report No. 53 being REFERRED BACK {see Motion No. 11}.

“CARRIED” with dissents as recorded on various items.

Motion To Introduce By-Laws**MOTION NO. 13**

Moved by Councillor A. Loney
Seconded by Councillor W. Byrne

RESOLVED THAT the following by-laws be enacted and passed.

By-law 8 of 2000 to approve area municipality by-laws regulating traffic on local streets as follows:

City of Nepean	003-2000
City of Vanier	44-99

By-law 9 of 2000 to assume for public use and establish as common and public highways certain lands to become part of the Regional Road System.

“CARRIED”

By-laws enacted and passed.

Motions**MOTION NO. 14**

Moved by Councillor W. Stewart
Seconded by Councillor R. van den Ham

RESOLVED THAT the Rules of Procedure be suspended in order that Council may consider a motion with respect to municipal levy and conservation authorities.

“CARRIED” with Councillor Hunter dissenting.

MOTION NO. 15

Moved by Councillor W. Stewart
Seconded by Councillor R. van den Ham

RESOLVED THAT the Region’s appointees to the South Nation Conservation Authority be requested to vote in favour of a levy upon Ottawa-Carleton that is consistent with the South Nation Conservation Authority’s submission to the Regional budget process, ie. a levy of \$427,815.

“CARRIED with Councillors Loney, Hunter, Meilleur, Holmes and Davis dissenting.

Confirmation By-Law**MOTION NO. 16**

Moved by Councillor D. Beamish
Seconded by Councillor B. Hill

RESOLVED THAT by-law 10 of 2000 to confirm the proceedings of Council be enacted and passed.

“CARRIED”

By-law enacted and passed.

Adjournment

Council adjourned at 2:54 p.m.

CLERK

CHAIR