

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF OTTAWA-CARLETON

DISPOSITION OF COMMITTEE REPORTS TO COUNCIL

14 MAY 1997

COMMITTEE REPORTS

POSTPONEMENTS AND DEFERRALS

MOTION NO. 105

Moved by Councillor A. Cullen
Seconded by Councillor B. McGarry

RESOLVED THAT the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton request the Legislature of Ontario to amend the re-structuring provisions of Part 1 of the Municipal Act cM45, so that its provisions apply to the Regional Municipality of Ottawa Carleton and the area municipalities and local boards therein.

“CARRIED” as amended by the following motion:

MOTION NO. 109

Moved by Councillor A. Muter
Seconded by Councillor P. Hume

RESOLVED THAT Motion No. 105 be amended to provide that Regional Council also requests a written confirmation from the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing that he will ensure that Ottawa-Carleton’s local process, once commenced, will lead to a definite conclusion.

“CARRIED”

COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 471. GRANT PROPOSAL - CENTRE 507**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

That Council approve the following:

1. **That based on the allocations criteria, Centre 507 qualifies for additional funding in the amount of \$2,719 plus the rent increase of \$480 requested on February 6, 1997 for a total of \$3,199;**
2. **That a one-time only expense in the amount of \$1,200 be granted to Centre 507 to cover expenses re: moving and renovations for 1997.**

“CARRIED”

2. COMMUNITY HOUSES**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

That Council approve that \$95,000 be transferred from the Social Services Department project funding (One-Time Grants) envelope to Purchase of Service funding in 1997 to be allocated to Community Houses to assist with coordination.

“CARRIED”

3. FUNDING STATUS FOR HOUSING AGENCIES - ACTION-LOGEMENT
AND HOUSING HELP**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED**

1. **That Council approve that funding for Action-Logement and Housing Help be maintained at 1996 levels.**
2. **That staff review the funding envelope for housing services for 1998 and provide recommendations regarding developing an objective funding formula for allocating funds to housing agencies based on criteria to include, but not limited to, number of clients served, area of service, language of clients and range of services provided.**

- 3. That neither housing agency's funding be reduced from 1997 levels as a result of the staff review, given the dramatically increasing demand and dramatically decreasing public funding the agencies are experiencing.**

“CARRIED”

4 OUR HOMES/CHEZ NOUS POSITION RE: THE PROVINCIAL PROPOSAL TO DOWNLOAD SOCIAL HOUSING TO MUNICIPALITIES

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

- 1. WHEREAS the Government of Canada is currently negotiating with the Government of Ontario over the responsibility and funding of social housing and;**

WHEREAS to maintain the affordability and accessibility of social housing to its tenants, federal dollars should continue to ensure that rent continues to be based on income;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton request the Government of Canada to set conditions in devolving its social housing responsibility to the provinces to ensure that social housing tenants continue to pay their rent based on their income.

- 2. WHEREAS social housing is an important part of society's safety net, providing affordable and accessible housing for those in need, including the elderly, the disabled, single-parent families and the poor and;**

WHEREAS property tax is inappropriate as the means to finance accessible/affordable social housing;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton request the Government of Ontario to withdraw its proposal to off-load the responsibility and funding of social housing to municipalities and;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Government of Ontario consult with social housing providers and other interested agencies to determine the most efficient and cost-effective means of providing social housing to Ontario's needy.

“CARRIED”

5 PUBLIC HEALTH AND DOWNLOADING

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION AS AMENDED

WHEREAS there has been broad concern about the province's proposal to make municipalities (regional government, in Ottawa-Carleton) fully responsible financially for public health;

WHEREAS significant concern has been expressed in the health community about the consequences of this proposal, including by the Ontario Medical Association and Dr. Duncan Sinclair, head of the Health Services Restructuring Commission;

WHEREAS such a decision could lead to the steady erosion in public health programs, with greatly different standards from region to region, with communities in Ontario varying markedly in their ability to:

- **control communicable diseases and outbreaks;**
- **carry out cancer prevention activities;**
- **undertake heart health programs, targeting Canada's number one killer;**
- **assure that children and seniors are adequately vaccinated against such diseases as meningitis and flu;**
- **assure the safety of and purity of drinking water and rural groundwater;**
- **prevent low birthweight babies and;**
- **reduce teen pregnancies and sexually-transmitted diseases and;**

WHEREAS the cost-sharing of public health allows the province to set province-wide standards;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Community Services Committee and Regional Council express support for the continuation of a cost-sharing relationship between the province and the region for the financing of public health and;

THAT this resolution be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the Association of Local Public Health Agencies, all members of Council, all Ottawa-Carleton MPP's, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing and the Minister of Health.

“CARRIED”

CORPORATE SERVICES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 60

1. INNES ROAD DRAINAGE
CONTRIBUTION TO PORTOBELLO
ROAD STORM SEWER TO URBANDALE CORPORATION

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve reimbursement to Urbandale Corporation, Ottawa, for the construction of part of the Portobello Storm Sewer that drains Innes Road, in the amount of \$104,757.76.

“CARRIED”

2. LEMIEUX ISLAND WATER PURIFICATION PLANT
CHEMICAL STORAGE AND FEED SYSTEMS
CONSULTANT VARIATION - CONTRACT NO. CC-4259

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve a scope change to Contract CC-4259 with CH2M Gore & Storrie Limited, Ottawa, for additional construction services and facility commissioning assistance in the amount of \$188,500, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$1,166,500.

“CARRIED”

3. BRITANNIA WATER PURIFICATION PLANT
SULPHURIC ACID SYSTEM - CONTRACT CC-6053
CONSULTANT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the next phase of Contract CC-6053 with CH2M Gore & Storie Limited, Ottawa, to provide engineering design and construction services at an estimated fee of \$63,000, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$80,000.

“CARRIED”

4. CARLSBAD SPRINGS WATER SUPPLY
SUPPLY OF METER SETS AND STORAGE TANKS
CONTRACT CW 6008 - SCOPE CHANGE

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve a scope change to Contract CW-6008 with Landry Mechanical Services Ltd., Nepean, for additional properties to be serviced in the amount of \$184,435, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$558,438.

“CARRIED”

5. MISCELLANEOUS WATER WORKS MATERIAL
TENDER 0830-73AA-T1/97 - TENDER AWARD

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council approve the award of the following:

- 1. The tender submitted by Rehau Industries, Prescott, for the items as per schedule R (Annex A) in the amount of \$11,303.85;**
- 2. The tender submitted by Westburne/Canada Valve, Ottawa, for the items as per schedules D, F, H, J, L, O, S and T (Annex A) in the amount of \$288,594.25;**
- 3. The tender submitted by Crane Supply, Ottawa, for the items as per schedule M (Annex A) in the amount of \$1,155.75;**

4. **The tender submitted by Emco Supply, Ottawa, for the items as per schedules A, B, C, E, G, I, K, N, and P (Annex A) in the amount of \$720,583.55;**
5. **The tender submitted by Duchesne Pipes Ltd., St-Joseph, for the items as per schedule Q (Annex A) in the amount of \$71,115.81.**

“CARRIED”

6. **SUPPLY OF CURBSIDE COLLECTION CONTAINERS
(BLUE BOXES) TENDER 0100-07-T1/97 - CONTRACT AWARD**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the award of Tender 0100-07-T1/97 to the lowest responsive bidder Busch Systems International Inc., Barrie, for an estimated supply of 50,000 Curbside Collection Containers (blue boxes) for a total contract provision of \$168,475.

“CARRIED”

7. **REVIEW OF ROAD CUT MANAGEMENT
ON REGIONAL ROADS - CONSULTANT APPOINTMENT**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the appointment of Ainley Graham and Associates Limited, Ottawa, to undertake a review of road cut management and administration on Regional roads, for a total contract provision of \$290,069.51.

“CARRIED”

8. **CYCLING FACILITIES ON RICHMOND ROAD
POULIN AVENUE TO THE OTTAWA RIVER PARKWAY
CONTRACT NO. 96-536 - CONTRACT EXTENSION**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve an extension to Contract 96-536 with Arnone Paving and Concrete Limited, Nepean, for the completion of cycling facilities on Richmond Road in the amount of \$65,000, bringing the revised total contract provision to \$150,216.26.

“CARRIED”

9. **1996 OPERATING RESULTS**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **That Council confirm the transfer of operating surpluses totalling \$8.8 million as detailed on page 2, to Reserve Funds in accordance with Council policy;**
2. **That Council approve:**
 - a) **the funding of the 1996 Region Wide Fund - Police Services operating shortfall of \$2.8 million from the Region Wide Capital Reserve Fund;**
 - b) **the funding of the 1996 Transit Fund operating shortfall of \$2.7 million from the Transit Capital Reserve Fund;**
 - c) **the funding of the 1996 Sewer Capital Levy Fund operating shortfall of \$0.2 million from the Sewer Surcharge Contingency Reserve Fund;**
 - d) **the funding of the 1996 Solid Waste Fund operating shortfall of \$1.1 million from the Solid Waste Capital Reserve Fund.**

“CARRIED”

10. DISPOSITION OF SINKING FUND SURPLUSCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the distribution of Sinking Fund Surplus in the amount of \$2,092,873.68, pursuant to Section 116 subsection (44)(b) and (44.1) of the *Regional Municipalities Act* to the Area Municipalities and to the Regional Municipality in amounts detailed in Annex A.

“CARRIED”

11. STATUS REPORT
REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT CHARGE (RDC) BYLAW UPDATECOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECIEVED”

12. CASH INVESTMENTS AND LOANS RECEIVABLE
(AS AT 8 MARCH 1997)COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECIEVED”

13. PROPOSALS FOR A NEW MUNICIPAL ACTCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council approve:

1. **That the Regional Municipality congratulate the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing for taking the initiative to completely overhaul and re-write the Ontario *Municipal Act*:**
 - a) **Ending the use of prescriptive enabling legislation;**
 - b) **Employing the natural person power approach such that a municipality can act freely within its spheres of jurisdiction;**
 - c) **Assigning wide areas, described in broad terms, of local government operating and service delivery authority;**

all of which are intended to enable municipalities to function more effectively and efficiently;
2. **That the Regional Municipality ask the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing to reconsider and change the preliminary draft on the following areas of concern:**
 - a) **To avoid possible restrictive interpretation by the courts, the 13 wide areas of jurisdiction should be consolidated into one or a few much wider and more general descriptions of municipal authority;**
 - b) **The new Act should express municipal jurisdiction and responsibility by using the terms “spheres of jurisdiction” or “areas of responsibility” rather than perpetuating the existing municipal legislation which identifies enactment of by-laws in particular areas;**
 - c) **Unrestricted power to pass regulations permits provincial interference with exercise of municipal powers. If the power to pass such regulations is to be maintained, the circumstances in which the power can be exercised should be restricted, so that regulations can only be passed within certain defined categories;**

- d) The type of “commercial activities” which the Province can limit by regulation should be defined and any such regulations should lapse after two, not three, years as proposed;**
- e) Inability to create local boards or assign non-administrative powers to committees restricts ability to configure innovative ways of providing services. Local Boards, or delegated authority to committees, should be permitted either by categories, or by regulation;**
- f) Absolute prohibition against incorporating a company, or owning shares, discourages public/private ventures. Such corporate investment should be permitted either by categories or by regulation;**
- g) Limitation on power to regulate facilities owned by others may interfere with legitimate Regional public service functions and should be reviewed;**
- h) The restriction on the use of area rating by municipal Councils is unwarranted and at least a very wide discretion to area rate service and capital costs should be made available;**
- i) The existing provisions concerning the migration of service delivery responsibilities between the two tiers of government in Regional areas require further review to incorporate an objective and independent review mechanism available to any municipality with criteria established against which such review applications may be measured;**

- j) **If the Bill 26 provisions for structural review are to be made applicable in Regional areas, they should be augmented by an independent review mechanism that does not require the triple majority approval mechanism that is available on municipal applications. This mechanism should measure any such review application against established governmental organization service delivery criteria. Such jurisdiction in the Ontario Municipal Board warrants consideration;**
 - k) **The proposal to repeal upper-tier discretion in the establishment of levy due dates for upper-tier taxes can only increase upper-tier finance costs;**
 - l) **Other statutes affecting municipalities are still prescriptive and should be amended on the same basis;**
 - m) **As this Act will repeal all individual Regional Acts, as well as *The Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton Act*, consultation is required to ensure that specific provisions relative to specific regions (i.e. transit in the RMOC) will be carried forward in satisfactory form into the new Act;**
3. **That because of the importance of the New Municipal Act as the base municipal operating statute for the long-term future;**
- a) **An action program to incorporate other statutes into the New Municipal Act be commenced following the passing of this Act;**
 - b) **When a full copy of the proposed New Municipal Act is available and tabled in the Legislative Assembly, that meaningful time be allotted for municipal and public review and comment, both to the Ministry and to a Standing Committee of the Legislative Assembly.**

“CARRIED”

14. REGIONAL WARD NAMES**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED**

That Council approve:

1. **the deletion of "R1" from the proposed ward name for R1, resulting in the proposed name being "ORLÉANS";**
2. **for its adoption the Regional ward names set out in Annex A, as amended.**

"CARRIED"

15. REGIONAL GOVERNMENT - COUNCIL VACANCY**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED**

That Council approve:

1. **The resignation of Councillor Pratt, dated April 29, 1997, be accepted;**
2. **A Nominating Committee be struck consisting of the Regional Chair, the Chairs of the Standing Committees and the Vice Chair of Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee;**
3. **The Nominating Committee of Regional Council seek through advertisements in newspapers of general circulation, and such other means as the Nominating Committee considers appropriate, the names of prospective persons to be appointed to Regional Council as the Regional Councillor for R3;**
4. **The Nominating Committee recommend to the Regional Council meeting of June 11, 1997, or sooner if possible, the names(s) of a candidate or candidate(s) to be appointed as the Regional Councillor for R3;**

5. **The Nominating Committee recommend to the Regional Council the names of Regional Councillors to fill any vacancies on committees or local boards of the Region resulting from the resignation of Councillor Pratt.**

“CARRIED” as amended by the following motions:

MOTION NO. 111

Moved by Councillor A. Cullen
Seconded by Councillor A. Munter

RESOLVED THAT recommendations 3, Item 15 of Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee Report 60, be deleted and replaced by the following:

Regional Council seek through advertisements in newspapers of general circulation (including the Nepean Clarion) for qualified electors willing to be appointed to Regional Council as Regional Councillor for Regional Ward R3 (Bell-South Nepean). The deadline for such applications shall be the close of business, Friday May 23, 1997.

MOTION NO.112

Moved by Councillor P. Hume
Seconded by Councillor R. van den Ham

RESOLVED THAT the Rules of Procedure as it applies to standing Committees be made to apply to the Nominating Committee.

MOTION NO. 113

Moved by Councillor D. Holmes
Seconded by Councillor B. McGarry

RESOLVED THAT applicants for the Regional Council position that is presently vacant be requested to come before the Nominating Committee to explain why they would like to represent the residents of the Ward (5 Minute speaking time limit).

16. RESPONSE TO OUTSTANDING INQUIRY NO. C&E-8 - 15 OCTOBER 1996

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive this report for information.

“RECIEVED”

17. PRIVATE MEMBER’S BILL
REFORM OF GOVERNANCE IN OTTAWA-CARLETON

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve that the Regional Solicitor draft an appropriate Private Member’s Bill in response to information received concerning the reform of governance in Ottawa-Carleton.

“RECIEVED”

18. MANITOBA FLOOD RELIEF

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve that the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton provide a base grant of \$50,000 to the Manitoba Flood Relief fund and commit to a further matching amount equal to that raised from Regional employees including OC Transpo and the Ottawa-Carleton Police Service.

“CARRIED”

OC TRANSIT COMMISSION REPORT NO. 11

1. TRANSPLAN 97

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the implementation of recommendations resulting from Transplan 97.

“CARRIED”

2. TRANSPLAN 97 (continued)

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

That Regional Council approve the recommendations and the implementation in 1997 for routes 42/144, 45/145 and 48/148.

“CARRIED”

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 57

1. RESUMPTION OF JURISDICTION TO APPROVE
GLOUCESTER OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT (OPA) NO. 3
SOUTH URBAN CENTRE (SUC)

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council approve:

1. **Resumption of approval authority from the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) to approve Amendment No. 3 to the Gloucester Official Plan (South Urban Centre);**
2. **That Referral No. 1 to Gloucester OPA No. 3 (the “Open Space ” land use designation of the lands described as being Part of Lot 21, Broken Front Concession, Schedule “A” of this amendment) be approved as modified below;**
 - a) **by designating the portion of the land with a land use flood plain constraint on Schedule “A-5” of the Gloucester Official Plan plus a band of 10 to 15 metres in width adjacent to this constraint as “Open Space” on Schedule “A” to this amendment, and;**
 - b) **by designating the balance of the lands as “Residential” on Schedule “A” of this amendment;**
3. **That Referral No. 2 to Gloucester OPA No. 3 (“Residential” land use designation in the Hamlet of Honey Gables as outlined on Schedule “A” of Gloucester OPA No. 3) be approved as submitted;**
4. **That Deferrals Nos. 2 & 3 to Gloucester OPA No. 3 be lifted and approved as submitted, and;**

5. That Deferrals Nos. 1 & 4 to Gloucester OPA No. 3 be lifted and approved as submitted.

“CARRIED”

2. LIFTING DEFERRAL NOS. 17, 18 AND 20
NEW COMPREHENSIVE OFFICIAL PLAN
TOWNSHIP OF GOULBOURN

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council approve:

1. The lifting of Deferral No. 17 to the new comprehensive Official Plan of the Township of Goulbourn, save and except that portion of Deferral No. 17 which applies to a .8 ha (2 ac.) parcel of land off Hazeldean Rd. as outlined on the Addendum Approval Page attached as Annex I;
2. The lifting of Deferral Nos. 18 and 20 to the new comprehensive Official Plan of the Township of Goulbourn as outlined on the Addendum Approval Page attached as Annex I;
3. The designations underlying Deferral Nos. 18 and 20 as outlined on the Addendum Approval Page attached as Annex I, and;
4. Modification No. 35 as outlined on the addendum Approval Page attached as Annex I.

“CARRIED”

3. REFERRAL TO THE ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD
4160 RIVERSIDE DRIVE, CITY OF OTTAWA
UPLANDS HOLDING CORPORATION
(D.C.R. PHOENIX MANAGEMENT)

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That Council approve the request by R.W. McKinley, solicitor for Uplands Holding Corporation, to refer Subdivision Application 15-94.0205 (06T-94025) to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) pursuant to the provisions of Section 51(15) of the Planning Act;

2. That the OMB be notified of Transport Canada's support of a referral of this subdivision application and of its desire to have standing at the Hearing;
3. That the subdivision application be refused because it is not in conformity with the policies of the Regional Official Plan;
4. That the Region support the City of Ottawa in refusing the subdivision application on the basis that it does not conform to all of the land use designations and policies in the Ottawa Official Plan (as detailed in their staff report dated 7 Jan 97-approved by City Council on 5 Feb. 97);
5. That the subdivision application be refused because it is located above the 30 NEF/NEP contour and is not infill development, and therefore does not conform to the Provincial Policy Statement released on 1 Feb. 97;
6. That the subdivision application be refused because, in the opinion of Transport Canada, portions of the property would be exposed to NEF levels of 35 and greater (The noise measurements significantly exceed accepted noise impact criteria for speech and sleep interference in both the outdoor and the indoor environment. As such, residential development is considered an inappropriate land use for the subject site, and does not conform to MOEE criteria for residential development adjacent to airports);
7. That approval of the subdivision application is premature due to the many major issues which have not been addressed by the applicant to the satisfaction of the appropriate authorities, as detailed in this report;
8. That the physical layout of the subdivision application, and its location within the City of Ottawa, is deficient in terms of the conservation of natural resources, the adequacy of utilities and municipal services, the adequacy of school services and the minimum requirements for safe and efficient road access;
9. That the OMB be advised that the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton recommends that the subdivision application be refused under the provisions of Section 51(13) of the Planning Act for the reasons noted above.

“CARRIED”

4. GUIDELINES FOR THE RE-EVALUATION OF
PROVINCIALY SIGNIFICANT WETLAND BOUNDARIES
IN OTTAWA-CARLETON

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

That Council approve:

1. The document "*GUIDELINES FOR THE RE-EVALUATION OF PROVINCIALY SIGNIFICANT WETLAND BOUNDARIES IN OTTAWA-CARLETON*", attached as Annex A to this report, as amended by the following;
 - a) That the first paragraph of Item 4) be amended by deleting the words "*and are truly in need of financial assistance to resolve the problem.*", and that the second criteria under Item 4) ii) be amended by deleting the words "*in whole*".
 - b) That signing authority for the agreements be delegated to the Planning and Development Approvals Commissioner.
2. That the funding program established in 1997 continue until the budget allocation of \$50,000 is exhausted.

"CARRIED"

PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 58

1. REFERRAL #2 AND #4 TO ROPA 35 - SOUTH URBAN CENTRE,
GLOUCESTER, LANDS NORTH OF GLOUCESTER GLEN

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council approve a request to the Ontario Municipal Board, with respect to Part of Lot 13 and Lot 14, Concession 1, Rideau Front, City of Gloucester, to modify Regional Official Plan Amendment 35 as follows:

- a) **That the land be designated Waterfront Open Space (South Urban Centre) as shown on Schedule B, attached as Annex A to this report;**
- b) **That the lands be designated General Urban Area on Schedule B, as shown on Annex A to this report;**
- c) **A new policy 10.1.2.6 be added to the Regional Official Plan (1988);**

“6. Notwithstanding Policy 3, development may be permitted as interim uses on private services on Part of Lot 13 and Lot 14, Broken Front, Rideau Front, City of Gloucester, provided that it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of Council that such development;

- a) can be adequately serviced by private services;**
- b) will not compromise the longer term development of the area for urban purposes at appropriate densities on central services;**
- c) developments permitted under this policy will be required to pay for hook-up to central services when they become available”.**

“CARRIED”

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE REPORT NO. 51

1. **CONROY ROAD (REGIONAL ROAD 125) RECONSTRUCTION
HUNT CLUB ROAD TO WALKLEY ROAD**

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AS AMENDED

Having held a public hearing, that Council:

- 1. approve the Project Report and the functional design for Conroy Road as detailed on the presentation drawings;**
- 2. authorize the Environment and Transportation Department to initiate expropriation proceedings and proceed with acquisition of property to be identified in the detailed design stage;**
- 3. authorize the Environment and Transportation Department to proceed with the relocation of utilities to be determined in the detailed design stage.**

- 4. Whereas Motion No. 40 from Regional Council was “referred to Transportation Committee to be dealt with as soon as a staff report on the project can be prepared”; and whereas the environmental study report is now submitted; be it resolved that staff be directed to bring a report to the Corporate Services and Economic Development Committee at its first meeting in June and that a recommendation for a contract award for the design component of the Conroy Road project be part of that report.**

“CARRIED”

2. MODIFICATION TO CANADIAN TIRE ACCESS ON HERON ROAD 155M WEST OF BANK STREET

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the installation of traffic control signals and associated roadway modifications at the main access to the Canadian Tire store on Heron Road 155 m west of Bank Street as illustrated in Annex D, subject to a public hearing if required and subject to the owner, Canadian Tire Real Estate Limited:

1. paying for the total cost of traffic signal installation and related road works, and;
2. executing a legal agreement with respect to (1) above.

“CARRIED”

3. TRAFFIC AND PARKING BY-LAW SET FINE INCREASES - PUBLIC CONSULTATION

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council approve the new set fines for Traffic and Parking By-law No. 1 of 1996, as recommended in the attached report.

“CARRIED”

MOTIONS**MOTION NO. 117**

Moved by Councillor P. Hume
Seconded by Councillor A. Loney

WHEREAS the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing in a letter to Regional Chair Peter Clark is encouraging “all parties to persevere and to complete the development of a locally designed process”; and

WHEREAS the broad approval of the McGarry motion has shown that a locally designed process and a local solution to the current governance problem is possible;and

WHEREAS this issue will be hotly debated during the 1997 municipal election and these debates will be extremely valuable in understanding the issues and concerns of residents of this region; and

WHEREAS the next and most difficult step in the restructuring debate is the establishment and composition of a citizens panel; and

WHEREAS to be successful in this endeavour we must recognize the legitimate concerns of all of the region’s residents and we must ensure that the panel can recognize, understand and resolve those concerns;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Ottawa-Carleton Citizen’s Panel on local government reform be comprised of 16 members plus a Panel Chairperson; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that appointment to the 16 member panel be made on the following basis:

- **8 members appointed by Regional Council**
- **4 members appointed by the Council of the City of Ottawa**
- **4 members appointed by the remaining area municipalities**

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that a committee comprised of the Regional Chair, the Mayor of the City of Ottawa and a representative of the Ottawa-Carleton Mayors forum appoint the Panel Chairperson; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the 8 members of the citizens panel to be appointed by Regional Council reflect the linguistic duality and the geographic diversity of The Region of Ottawa-Carleton; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that in addition to following responsibilities:

- **To hear submissions from politicians and taxpayers of Ottawa-Carleton.**
- **To identify guiding principles.**
- **To investigate all models of governance.**
- **To hold public hearings across the region of Ottawa-Carleton.**
- **To undertake research on different political and administrative structures/models.**

that the panel be tasked with developing a comprehensive terms of reference and timeframe and that the terms of reference and timeframe be adopted by the panel before July 30, 1997; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that this motion be adopted by Regional Council subject to it being endorsed by a majority of area municipal councils representing a majority of the population and forwarded to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing for his information and circulated to all area municipalities for endorsement.

MOTION NO. 118

Moved by Councillor P. Hume
Seconded by Councillor B. McGarry

RESOLVED THAT Motion No. 117 be amended by Substitution with the following:

WHEREAS the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton and all area municipalities have agreed on a common process to review municipal governance in Ottawa-Carleton; and

WHEREAS the suggested change to the process from the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing is that the selection of a Citizens' Panel be accomplished locally;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the original McGarry motion (MOTION NO. 68) be held intact and used as the terms of reference for the membership and function on the Ottawa-Carleton Citizens' Panel on municipal government reform; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the Ottawa-Carleton Citizen's Panel on municipal government reform be comprised of 10 members plus a Panel Chairperson; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the Citizens' Panel and Panel Chairperson be appointed be a selection committee constituted on the following basis:

- The Chair of the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton or designate
- The Mayor of the City of Ottawa or designate
- A representative of the Ottawa-Carleton Mayors Forum

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the selection committee appoint the chair and members of the Citizens' panel by majority vote of the committee; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that this motion be adopted by the Council of the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton, subject to it being endorsed by a majority of area councils representing a majority of the population.

MOTION NO. 119

Moved by Councillor P. Hume
Seconded by Councillor A. Munter

WHEREAS Regional Council supports closure on the issue of municipal restructuring; and

WHEREAS Council has concerns about the arbitrary and authoritarian approach of a Commissioner, who is under no obligation to even speak to local officials before reaching a decision; and

WHEREAS the more open process of the Ontario Municipal Board, combined with the powers of a Commissioner, represents a timely, effective and transparent process for a conclusion to this issue;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that in the event that no local governance system recommendation is approved by the triple majority, then the Minister shall appoint the Ontario Municipal Board to review and establish the appropriate organization and responsibilities of local government in the Regional Area.

In carrying out it's responsibilities under paragraph (1), the Ontario Municipal Board shall:

- 1. be subject to the conditions, criteria and guidelines established by the Ottawa-Carleton Citizens' Panel on Local Governance reform.**
- 2. subject to clause 1, have all of the powers and responsibilities of a Commissioner under section 25.3 of the *Municipal Act*.**
- 3. issue its decision within four months of an inability to reach a local solution.**

In accordance with the provisions of the Ontario Municipal Board Act, and Part 1 of the Municipal Act, the Board shall, by Order, implement it's decision concerning the proper system and organization of local government in the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton .

MOTION NO. 120

Moved by Councillor P. Hume

Seconded by Councillor A. Munter

RESOLVED THAT the Hume/Loney motion (MOTION NO. 117) on municipal Governance and the Hume/McGarry replacement motion (MOTION No. 118) and the Hume/Munter motion (MOTION NO. 119) be DEFERRED until such time as private members bill extending the provisions of Bill 26 to the Region of Ottawa-Carleton comes into force and effect.

“CARRIED”

BY-LAWS

By-laws enacted and passed.